

8/26/16

Regrets: Kay Anderson

Guest: Alex Blazer

I) Agenda approved

II) Minutes approved

III) New Information: GC2Y: Global Horror

Since the course was just distributed yesterday, many committee members have not had the opportunity to review.

One committee member added that she really appreciated getting a full copy of the syllabus with the proposal. This made reviewing very easy given that the syllabus provided for a more thorough and complete view of the course.

*Question:* How is the 25 extra minutes going to affect students who want to take night classes?

*Answer:* Proposer is willing to move the block for the 4<sup>th</sup> hour. This was just an attempt to demonstrate that it has been planned out and considered as the course was being planned.

*Discussion:* Often the fourth hour often causes a conflict for some students. All types of hour combinations can cause conflicts so really any hour block would have the same effect. So there is really no better or worse time to plan for it.

*Question:* Reading through the course description, looking at list of countries, it was noticed that more developing countries were not represented. Is there a particular reason?

*Answer:* Proposer said he just couldn't find any that he'd want to show. He's willing to take any suggestions though.

*Question:* Are you going to define what horror means?

*Answer:* Yes, proposer provided a brief explanation for the committee.

*Question:* In what area(s) of study are your graduate courses?

*Answer provided.*

*Discussion:* Can/should we vote with person in the room or ask them to leave the room? Should we think about including this in our operating procedures? Please think about this for next week.

The discussion regarding the course with the proposer was extensive enough that most committee members had an opportunity to review the course. The chair called for a vote whether or not to vote on the proposal. The majority voted yes, to go forward with the vote. The guest excused himself and a vote was held. All voted in favor for the course and one abstained from voting.

A committee member asked if we can please get courses to review sooner? This was less than 24 hours. All efforts will be made to do so.

Mary met with Dale Young regarding a plan to re-certify Area B courses. Dale wants this to be decision of this year's SoCC so we need to vote if we want to go forward with this. If we vote that we'd like to explore this, Dale wants us to bring this to CAPC. The rationale for this endeavor: Area B courses have no chair (courses don't reside in the department really) so aspects of the courses may not have any continuous oversight. So this plan would provide necessary oversight. Additionally, Dale wants an inventory of how many sections of 1Y and 2Y over the years have been taught by tenure track, adjunct, etc... Perhaps Kay could gather this information most easily? Mary will ask. Finally, Dale wants us to ask for volunteers as we begin this review, perhaps starting with senior faculty.

We held a rather extensive discussion regarding this notion of Area B course reaffirmation.

We wrapped up that discussion and the meeting was adjourned.