

COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS)

MEETING DATE & TIME: 03 NOVEMBER 2017; 2:00 –3:15

MEETING LOCATION: PARKS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, ROOM 301

ATTENDANCE:

MEMBERS		“P” denotes Present, “A” denotes Absent, “R” denotes Regrets	
----------------	--	---	--

P	Alex Blazer (CoAS, ECUS Vice-Chair)	R	Will Hobbs (CoHS, ECUS Member)
P	Kelli Brown (Provost)	R	Chavonda Mills (CoAS, ECUS Chair Emeritus)
R	Jolene Cole (Library, ECUS Member)	P	Craig Turner (CoAS, ECUS Secretary)
P	Nicole DeClouette (CoE, ECUS Chair)	P	J.F. Yao (CoB, ECUS Member)
R	Steve Dorman (University President)		

GUESTS			
None			

	<i>Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting.</i>		
	*Plain text denotes new discussion on old business.		

AGENDA TOPIC	DISCUSSIONS & CONCLUSIONS	ACTION OR RECOMMENDATIONS	FOLLOW-UP {including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)}
I. Call to order	The meeting was called to order at 2:02 pm by Nicole DeClouette (Chair).		
II. Approval of Agenda	A MOTION to approve the agenda was made and seconded.	The agenda was approved as circulated.	
III. Approval of Minutes	A MOTION to approve the minutes of the 6 Oct 2017 meeting of the Executive Committee was made and seconded. A draft of these minutes had been circulated to the meeting attendees via email with no revisions offered. Thus, the minutes had been posted as circulated.	The minutes of the 6 Oct 2017 Executive Committee meeting were approved as posted, so no additional action was required.	

IV. Reports	The following reports were invited.		
Presiding Officer Report Nicole DeClouette	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>AAUP Redbooks</u> have been distributed to ECUS members JF Yao and Will Hobbs as well as standing committee chairs Emily Gomez (SAPC) and Diana Young (RPIPC). All other university senate leaders (ECUS members and standing committee chairs) already had a Redbook. 2. <u>Institutional Statutes and Bylaws</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. I emailed all university senate members a pdf of the tracked changes of the proposed revisions for each document in case they were unable to see the tracked changes in the word document. b. I also invited any questions or comments. As of 1 Nov 2017, I have not received any feedback. 3. <u>University Senate Representatives on University Committees and Task Forces</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. I emailed all of the university senate representatives and asked them to provide reports to members of the senate 1-2 times per semester via us@gcsu.edu email distribution group. 4. <u>Budget Balance</u> \$1,601.77 		
Past Presiding Officer Report Chavonda Mills	As Chavonda Mills had extended regrets and was unable to attend this meeting, there was no Past Presiding Officer report.		
Presiding Officer Elect Report Alex Blazer	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Slate of Nominees</u> Since the 6 Oct 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting, the following changes have been made. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>SGA Appointee to RPIPC</u> Dean Crawford has replaced Sarah Smith as the SGA Appointee to RPIPC. <u>A Motion</u> to revise the slate of nominees will be placed on the consent agenda for the 17 Nov 2017 university senate meeting. 		

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. USGFC Elected Faculty Senators (EFSs) have elected Glynnis Haley to serve a two-year term (2017-2019) as the non-voting representative to the USG Faculty Council. 3. EFS Election Oversight In preparation for EFS elections, election materials were sent to the deans of the academic units (colleges and library). A follow up email has been sent to these deans to offer help and clarification with the elected faculty senator elections. So far, no clarification or help has been requested. 		
Secretary Report Craig Turner	Craig Turner indicated that he had nothing to report as University Senate Secretary.		
Library Senator Report Jolene Cole	As Jolene Cole had extended regrets and was unable to attend this meeting, there was no Library Senator report.		
V. Information Items Actions/Recommendations			
University Senate Budgets Nicole DeClouette	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Foundation Account for university senate is now established and has a balance of \$0. 2. Balance The balance of the university senate budget (\$5000 allocation annually) is presently at \$1601.77. Anticipated expenditures include reimbursement of attendance expenditures to Alex Blazer for USG Faculty Council meetings during the 2017-18 academic year. 		
VI. Unfinished Business Review of Action & Recommendations, Provide updates (if any) to Follow-up			
University Senate Composition Nicole DeClouette	<p><u>1 Sep 2017</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty</i> 		

senator positions on university senate committees. Recent practice to relieve this tension has been to find an elected faculty senator on SoCC willing to also serve on CAPC to meet the bylaws requirements pertinent to elected faculty senators. At present, Mary Magoulick is serving on both SoCC and CAPC. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS minutes for more details including ideas that were proposed during 2016-17 for consideration to relieve this tension.

- 2. In light of the current development of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee's impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty – which is anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action.*
- 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee).*

3 Nov 2017

Further deliberation of this item is deferred until the resolution of curricular matters, in particular how the modifications to CAPC and SoCC and the establishment of the University Curriculum Committee play out.

<p>Appeals Process for Decisions of University Senate Committees</p> <p>Nicole DeClouette</p>	<p><u>1 Sep 2017</u></p> <p><i>Nicole DeClouette reminded those present that as part of his narrative response to Motion 1617.CAPC.020.C, President Dorman stated Finally, I charge the ECUS of the University Senate to consider an appeals process whereby decisions made by the various committees of the University Senate may be considered for appeal.</i></p> <p><i>This appeals process had been discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat with the conclusion that there already exist channels of appeal. This retreat session had been co-facilitated by Nicole DeClouette and Chavonda Mills. Nicole DeClouette provided a synopsis of the findings of the session.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>1. The university senate bylaws already provide a process by which three university senators can bring items to ECUS for steering to a committee and possibly result in consideration by the university senate as a committee of the whole. (See University Senate Bylaws IV.Section1)</i> <i>2. All votes coming out of committees be reported; this includes recommendations for a proposal and recommendations against a proposal.</i> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>i. Recommendation for a proposal will come to the university senate floor either as a formal motion or as an informational item on the consent agenda.</i> <i>ii. Recommendation against a proposal will come to the university senate floor on the consent agenda. A single university senator may remove an item from the consent agenda to be considered separately.</i> 	<p><u>1 Sep 2017</u></p> <p><i>Nicole DeClouette to draft language of this appeals process in consultation with the University Senate Parliamentarian John Sirmans for inclusion in university senate bylaws as well as review and offer recommended revisions (if any) to the language pertaining to the consent agenda routinely included on university senate agendas.</i></p> <p><u>6 Oct 2017</u></p> <p><i>Nicole DeClouette had coordinated with John Sirmans to prepare draft language but there was an insufficient amount of time during the meeting to review the draft.</i></p>
---	--	---

iii. *This way each vote will be recorded and will provide a way for the university senate to advise the President.*

A proposal from the floor was made that Nicole DeClouette consult with University Senate Parliamentarian John Sirmans to draft language articulating the appeals process for inclusion in the university senate bylaws as well as review and offer recommended revisions (if any) to the language pertaining to the consent agenda routinely included on university senate agendas. Those present endorsed this proposal with no dissenting voice.

Finally, it was noted this information would be shared with standing committee chairs at the ECUS-SCC meeting immediately following this meeting inviting and incorporating their feedback.

6 Oct 2017

Due to the shortness of time, copies of the draft appeal process prepared by Nicole DeClouette and John Sirmans were disseminated to those present with deliberation on the draft postponed to a future ECUS-SCC meeting.

3 Nov 2017

1. Nicole DeClouette provided contextual information on the current draft indicating that both sections would likely be proposed for inclusion in university senate bylaws, once finalized.
2. She requested feedback on the drafts from those present.
3. A suggestion to rework the second sentence of the top section to pluralize the

	<p>committees and to revise Executive Council to Executive Committee was made from the floor and there was general consensus to adopt this modification.</p> <p>4. Nicole DeClouette agreed to modify the draft to incorporate the suggestion and make the revised draft available for ECUS review at a future ECUS meeting.</p>		
<p>University Senate Bylaws</p> <p>Nicole DeClouette</p>	<p><u>1 Sep 2017</u></p> <p><i>Nicole DeClouette reminded those present that as part of his narrative response to Motion 1617.CAPC.020.C, President Dorman stated With this decision, I also charge the current presiding officer of the University Senate for Fall 2017 and the former presiding officer (2016-17) to meet with the University General Counsel during the summer and prepare for the University Senate reading at its first meeting during the retreat this August, a bylaws of the University Senate that fully aligns with the higher order documents: BOR Policy and Institutional Statutes, paying special attention to the role of the University Senate in curricular matters as prescribed by the higher order documents.</i></p> <p><i>University Counsel Qiana Wilson was present to field questions on a draft of proposed university senate bylaws revisions – including narrative to indicate the rationale pertaining to the compliance with higher order documents – that Qiana Wilson had prepared which Nicole DeClouette had circulated prior to the meeting with the meeting agenda.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>On page 6, pertaining to CAPC Scope, it was noted that the curricular review function of CAPC was still present in the draft. After some discussion, the</i> 		<p><u>1 Sep 2017</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Will Hobbs to draft alternative wording to policy-recommending or policy-making and forward it to Qiana Wilson.</i> <i>Craig Turner was charged to draft language addressing voting restrictions on academic matters and forward it to Qiana Wilson.</i> <i>Nicole DeClouette to provide clear deadlines for feedback when the draft is disseminated to all university senate committee members for review.</i> <i>Nicole DeClouette to ensure the first reading can occur at the 20 Oct 2017 university senate meeting.</i> <p><u>6 Oct 2017</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Will Hobbs did draft alternative wording to</i>

	<p><i>proposal supported by those present with no dissenting voice was to replace. In addition to its policy recommending function, this committee shall be responsible for reviewing and approving proposals to create or deactivate certificates, concentrations, degree programs, and minors, as well as the periodic review of general education requirements and learning outcomes. This committee also provides advice, as appropriate, on procedural matters relating to curriculum and academic assessment.</i></p> <p><i>with</i></p> <p><i>In addition to its policy recommending function, this committee shall also provide advice, as appropriate, on procedural matters relating to curriculum and academic assessment.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>○ A clarification was requested regarding whether CAPC would retain this curricular review function as the details (charge, composition, etc.) of the university curriculum committee are being developed.</i> <i>○ President Dorman noted that Provost Brown could name a group (possibly CAPC) as the university curriculum committee in proxy until such time as the university curriculum committee is launched.</i> <i>○ An observation from the floor noted that current bylaws of the university senate are in force – which would include the curricular review function of CAPC – until a bylaws</i> 		<p><i>policy-recommending or policy-making and forwarded it to Qiana Wilson.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>2. Craig Turner did draft language addressing voting restrictions on academic matters and forwarded it to Qiana Wilson.</i> <i>3. Nicole DeClouette did provide clear deadlines for feedback when the draft was disseminated to all university senate committee members for review.</i> <p><u>3 Nov 2017</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>1. Nicole DeClouette did ensure the first reading occurred at the 20 Oct 2017 university senate meeting</i>
--	--	--	---

	<p><i>revision is recommended by the university senate and approved by the University President.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>On page 5, a clarification of the rationale for autonomy of IRB and intellectual property committees was requested as this autonomy was the justification for striking intellectual property, human subjects and research from the APC scope. The clarification offered was that there were various federal and state laws as well as BoR policies that were the justification, among them the cited 45 CFR 46.</i>• <i>An editorial suggestion emerged from the floor to replace be concerned with with review and recommend in scopes of APC, CAPC, FAPC, RPIPC, SAPC.</i>• <i>On page 1, a clarification for the rationale of striking The University Senate is endowed with all the legislative powers and authority of the University Faculty was requested. The rationale offered was the concern of the universal (all) endowment of legislative powers from university faculty to university senate.</i>• <i>On page 1, it was noted that policy-making was proposed to be replaced by policy-recommending and it was thought that alternative wording might be considered. While all agreed that regardless of the wording, all actions of university senate are subject to approval of the university president, there was some desire to find alternative wording. When no specific alternative from the floor was</i>		
--	--	--	--

immediately forthcoming, Will Hobbs agreed to draft some alternative wording and send it to Qiana Wilson.

- *It was noted from the floor that a restriction of voting on academic matters to faculty was not among the proposed revisions. Conversation points included*
 - *whether to restrict voting to corps of instruction faculty or elected faculty senators on such matters (noting some presidential appointees are corps of instruction faculty and not elected faculty senators) with no clear consensus for which to adopt*
 - *how to define academic matters, with a proposal being the six areas from the AAUP Statement on Government of Colleges and Universities and not exclusively defined as motions sponsored by academic committees (APC, CAPC, FAPC). the primary interest being to ensure academic matters emerging from the university senate floor (transcending such motions) be included*

As no satisfactory language emerged from the floor, Craig Turner was charged to draft language addressing voting restrictions on academic matters and forward it to Qiana Wilson.

Next Steps

- *It was noted that at the governance retreat, there was a promise made to circulate a draft of proposed bylaws*

revisions to all members of all university senate committees providing them an opportunity to review the draft and offer feedback.

- *Given the charge of President Dorman to address these revisions at the first meeting of University Senate (scheduled for 15 Sep 2017), this review seemed implausible.*
- *President Dorman noted that he was satisfied that due diligence is being applied to the preparation of the draft and granted permission to postpone the first reading of the proposed bylaws revisions to the 20 Oct 2017 university senate meeting allowing time for a review as promised during the retreat.*
- *There were recommendations from the floor that Nicole DeClouette provide clear deadlines for feedback when the draft is disseminated to all university senate committee members for review to ensure the first reading can occur at the 20 Oct 2017 university senate meeting.*
- *Finally, it was noted that this draft including proposed revisions would be informed with further deliberation and consultation with standing committee chairs at the ECUS-SCC meeting immediately following this meeting.*

6 Oct 2017

1. **Summary New Draft** *Qiana Wilson, University Counsel, presented the most recent draft of proposed bylaws and statutes revisions to bring these into*

- compliance with the higher order documents.
- a. Statutes Article IV Section 1 is revised with language mimicking in part the USG Faculty Council language in BoR policy.
 - b. Statutes Article IV Section 3 is revised with the addition of so long as the University Senate remains mindful of the autonomy of the individual unit and the recommendations are related to the students' educational process.
 - c. Statutes Article IV Section 4 (veto) is revised with veto replaced by not to accept.
 - d. Only Faculty Vote on Academic Matters language drafted by Craig Turner informed by email consultation with ECUS was proposed for insertion as II.Section1.A.1.a of the university senate bylaws.
 - e. Statutes Article IV, Section V (revisions) provided an opinion that statutes may need further refinement or a change in the statutes revision process to properly recognize the authorities of the university president.
2. **ECUS-SCC Deliberation** Captured here are the takeaways of the conversation points of the deliberation, certainly not a transcript of every statement made. The items here correspond to the items in 1 above – i.e 2.a details deliberation about 1.a, 2.b details deliberation about 1.b, etc.
- a. This proposal triggered questions that were discussed with no clear consensus language emerging such as

- i. *What is the difference between policy and rules and regulations? <They are essentially the same>*
- ii. *What is the compliance issue with the policy-making assembly? <Some on campus interpret that to reference all policy including department/unit policy.> <Authority for making policy resides with the university president, the university senate can only recommend policies subject to the approval of the university president.>*
- b. *This proposal triggered a question that was discussed with no clear consensus language emerging.*
 - i. *What is the meaning of the phrase students' educational process?*
- c. *This proposal received no significant discussion as new language was not considered in the order in which it appeared in the table of proposed revisions.*
- d. *This proposal triggered questions that were discussed at length with no clear consensus language emerging such as*
 - i. *Should Presidential Appointees who are members of the corps of instruction be allowed to vote as university senators on academic matters?*
 - ii. *Does "academic matters" include curriculum?*
 - iii. *Is curriculum within the scope of the university senate? The narrative response of President Dorman to 1617.CAPC.020.C*

	<p><i>answered this with a definitive no. Some interpreting courses of study in BoR policy 3.2.4 as curriculum say yes.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>iv. Should “research” be removed?</i> <i>v. If we start removing things from the list of academic matters, should we no longer cite the AAUP Redbook?</i> <i>e. This proposal received no significant discussion as new language was not considered in the order in which it appeared in the table of proposed revisions.</i> <i>f. As the deliberation came to a close, consensus had not been reached on the points discussed above.</i> <i>g. It was noted that the deliberation would continue with the standing committee chairs at the 3:30pm 6 Oct 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting immediately following this meeting.</i> <i>h. Those present were invited to send any additional suggestions and comments via email to Qiana Wilson, University Counsel.</i> <p><u>3 Nov 2017</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nicole DeClouette indicated that she had emailed a pdf version of the track changes document to university senators and reminded them to direct feedback to her. To date, no such feedback has been received. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested and received advice on procedural matters in preparation for presiding over the second reading of the proposed revisions to the university senate bylaws slated for consideration at the 17 Nov 2017 university senate meeting. 		
--	--	--	--

<p>Elected Faculty Senator Election Oversight</p> <p>Nicole DeClouette (Sep) Alex Blazer (Oct)</p>	<p><u>1 Sep 2017</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Nicole DeClouette indicated that she has requested the Corps of Instruction list from the Office of Academic Affairs by contacting the Provost by email. According to the university senate bylaws, this list is to be supplied to ECUS by 15 Sep.</i> <i>Once received, Nicole DeClouette will forward this list to Alex Blazer (Chair of the Subcommittee on Nominations) to prepare a draft of the apportionment for ECUS review. This apportionment review would ideally take place at the 6 Oct 2017 ECUS meeting.</i> <p><u>6 Oct 2017</u></p> <p><i>In preparation for upcoming elected faculty senator elections, Alex Blazer provided ECUS with drafts of the apportionment spreadsheet and letters to deans as well as two Corps of Instruction lists (one with Administrators listed as their own “college” of Administration and one with Administrators listed within their home departments). The apportionment of Senators is the same with both classifications of Administrators. The major change from last year’s apportionment is that CoAS has decreased 1 Senator to 17 and CoB has increased 1 Senator to 6.</i></p> <p><i>ECUS members present agreed to the following.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>To use the corps of instruction list with the administrators listed within their home department and to adopt the corresponding apportionment of elected faculty senators to the academic units (colleges and library)</i> 		
---	--	--	--

	<p>2. <i>Alex Blazer is authorized to get letters and their appendices to the deans of the academic units (colleges and library) to guide elected faculty senator elections for the elected faculty senators to be elected with 2018-2021 terms of service.</i></p> <p><u>3 Nov 2017</u> Alex Blazer provided the following update as part of his Presiding Officer Elect report. <i>In preparation for EFS elections, election materials were sent to the deans of the academic units (colleges and library). A follow up email has been sent to these deans to offer help and clarification with the elected faculty senator elections. So far, no clarification or help has been requested.</i> In addition, Alex Blazer noted that academic unit election procedures are due no later than 1 December 2017.</p>		
VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations			
2018-2019 Governance Calendar Nicole DeClouette	<p>1. Nicole DeClouette provided the following contextual information.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Craig Turner drafted the 2018-2019 Governance Calendar for ECUS review and he, Alex Blazer, and Nicole DeClouette are in the process of confirming dates with people across campus. So far, winter break dates have been confirmed with Susan Allen. <p>2. Nicole invited feedback on the draft from those present.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. ECUS recommended against including the meeting dates of the Graduate Council and University Curriculum Committee on the governance calendar since these committees will no longer 		

	<p>be recommending items to the university senate or its committees.</p> <p>b. Nicole DeClouette will follow up with Veronica Womack regarding the date for Making Excellence Inclusive.</p> <p>c. Provost Brown will work, in concert with the President’s office, to schedule the August events (e.g., New Faculty Orientation, College/Dept Assessment Day, “Welcome Back Faculty” Breakfast, Convocation, Common Reader) as well as Faculty Contract Start/End Dates and the Celebration of Excellence (tentatively 19 Apr 2019).</p> <p>d. Alex Blazer will consult with Evita Shinholster regarding the date for the Staff Council Retreat and strive to ensure it will not coincide with the University Senate Governance Retreat.</p>		
<p>Appropriation to Reimburse Travel of Second USGFC Representative</p> <p>Nicole DeClouette</p>	<p>1. ECUS recommended the appropriation of University Senate budget funds to support the travel-related expenses incurred by the second USGFC Representative to attend the two USGFC meetings.</p> <p>2. ECUS will sponsor a motion to approve the appropriation of funds to support the travel-related expenses for both of the USGFC representatives since the budget belongs to the University Senate.</p> <p>3. ECUS also discussed formalizing this budgetary support in the next round of bylaws revisions.</p>		
<p>Committee Reconstruction (CAPC, SoCC) and Interim Curricular Oversight</p> <p>Nicole DeClouette</p>	<p>Nicole DeClouette noted that some university senate committee reconstruction will be necessary if the proposed revisions to the university senate bylaws (those that are currently under consideration) are recommended by university senate and</p>		

approved by President Dorman. She then invited feedback from those present.

1. **Transition from CAPC to EAPC.** The proposed revisions currently under consideration include a renaming of CAPC to EAPC (Educational Assessment and Policy Committee) as well as changes to the scope of this committee. CAPC – if renamed to EAPC with new scope – will focus on curriculum policy and assessment. If these changes occur, current CAPC members would populate EAPC.
2. **Subcommittee on the Core Curriculum**
 - a. As the UCC (University Curriculum Committee) emerges, there may be a proposal to modify the university senate bylaws and dissolve SoCC. Until that time, the current university senate bylaws governing SoCC persist.
 - b. If SoCC should be dissolved, committee assignments must be identified for the elected faculty senators presently serving on SoCC to comply with university senate bylaws that all university senators serve on at least one university senate committee.
3. **Interim Curriculum Oversight** If the proposed revisions to the university bylaws are adopted (recommended by university senate and approved by the President), an interim plan for curriculum review will be necessary for any time between when the revisions become effective and when the UCC emerges. A question of how curricular proposals (currently routed to CAPC or SoCC) will be reviewed during this interim period was posed from the floor. In response to this question, Provost Brown will create a

	<p>process by which curricular proposals would be routed during this interim. The tentative effective date was anticipated to be about 1 Dec 2017 (possibly earlier).</p> <p>4. University Senate Website As changes to the committee structure of the university senate occur, they will need to be reflected in the electronic presence (website, motion database, senator database, etc.). Changes to this electronic presence are programmed via Enterprise Applications by Matt Gaines under the leadership of James Carlisle and David Smith. Logistics of implementing these changes were talked about with uncertainty of the level of complexity of making these changes. As university senate liaison to Enterprise Applications, Craig Turner will be communicating with the staff of Enterprise Applications as any such changes become necessary.</p>		
<p>Foundation Account</p> <p>Nicole DeClouette</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. One possible use of the foundation account is to pay for things like food that we are not allowed to pay for with state money. 2. Nicole DeClouette will consult with someone in the advancement office to invite their ideas for future use of this account. 3. Nicole DeClouette will investigate how to get the University Senate Foundation Account set up as an option for future State Charitable Contribution Program campaigns. 		
<p>VIII. Next Meeting (Tentative Agenda, Calendar)</p>			
<p>1. Calendar</p>	<p>17 Nov 2017 @ 3:30pm Univ. Senate A&S 2-72 01 Dec 2017 @ 2:00pm ECUS Parks 301 01 Dec 2017 @ 3:30pm ECUS-SCC Parks 301</p>		

2. Tentative Agenda	Some of the deliberation today may have generated tentative agenda items for future ECUS and ECUS-SCC meetings.		Nicole DeClouette to ensure that such items (if any) are added to agenda of a future meeting of ECUS or ECUS-SCC.
IX. Adjournment	As there was no further business to consider, a <u>MOTION</u> to adjourn the meeting was made and seconded.	The motion to adjourn was approved and the meeting adjourned at 2:54 pm.	

Distribution:

First; To Committee Membership for Review

Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

Approved by: _____

Committee Chairperson (Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)

COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS)

COMMITTEE OFFICERS: NICOLE DECLOUETTE (CHAIR), ALEX BLAZER (VICE-CHAIR), CRAIG TURNER (SECRETARY)

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2017-2018

AGGREGATE MEMBER ATTENDANCE AT COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR:

“P” denotes Present, “A” denotes Absent, “R” denotes Regrets

Acronyms	EFS = Elected Faculty Senator; CoAS = College of Arts and Sciences, CoB = College of Business; CoE = College of Education; CoHS = College of Health Sciences							Present	Regrets	Absent
	9/1/2017	10/6/2017	11/3/2017	12/1/2017	2/2/2018	3/2/2018	3/30/2018			
Alex Blazer <i>EFS; CoAS; ECUS Vice-Chair</i>	P	P	P					3	0	0
Kelli Brown <i>Provost</i>	P	P	P					3	0	0
Jolene Cole <i>EFS; Library; ECUS Member</i>	R	P	R					1	2	0
Nicole DeClouette <i>EFS; CoE; ECUS Chair</i>	P	P	P					3	0	0
Steve Dorman <i>University President</i>	P	R	R					1	2	0
Will Hobbs <i>EFS; CoHS; ECUS Member</i>	P	R	R					1	2	0
Chavonda Mills <i>EFS; CoAS; ECUS Chair Emeritus</i>	P	R	R					1	2	0
Craig Turner <i>EFS; CoAS; ECUS Secretary</i>	P	P	P					3	0	0
J.F. Yao <i>EFS; CoB; ECUS Member</i>	R	R	P					1	2	0

CHAIRPERSON SIGNATURE _____ DATE _____ -(Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)