**Committee Name: Faculty Affairs Policy Committee**

**Meeting Date & Time: Friday, November 4, 2:00pm**

**Meeting Location: Arts & Sciences 116**

**Attendance**:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Members “P” denotes Present, “A” denotes Absent, “R” denotes Regrets** | | | |
| R | Alex Blazer | P | Mary Jean Land |
| P | Robert Blumenthal | P | Joe Mocnik |
| R | Louis Bourne | P | Barbara Roquemore |
| P | Ryan Brown | R | Patrick Simmons |
| P | Carrie Cook | P | Ashley Taylor |
| P | David Johnson | P | Tom Toney |
| R | Monica Ketchie |  |  |
|  | | | |
|  | *Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting.* |  |  |
|  | \*Denotes new discussion on old business. |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Agenda Topic (Committees should feel free to customize this template to make it as functional for them as possible. Other categories of topics might include Reports, Information Items, Unfinished Business, etc.) | Discussions & Conclusions | Action or Recommendations | Follow-Up {including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)} |
| **I. Call to order** |  | Meeting called to order at 2pm |  |
| **II. Approval of Agenda** |  | Approved |  |
| **III. Approval of Minutes** |  | Approved |  |
| **IV. Old Business/Review of**  **Actions/Recommendations** |  |  |  |
| 1. **Student Opinion Surveys** | There is general discontent amongst GCSU faculty with our student opinion surveys, mainly because it is so long and convoluted. Questions that arose: are the surveys used for accreditation? Part of a national system? Who decides which survey we use? Would a shorter form yield more student comments? Should class time be institutionally set aside for the completion of these surveys? | The committee would like to see the university use a shorter survey and perhaps find out what other options may be available. |  |
| 1. **Peer Teaching Evaluation** |  | Will be put on next meeting’s agenda. |  |
| 1. **Provost Memo** | Consensus was that the memo is a clarification of how Dr. Kelli Brown intends to do business. | This item is being dropped as future business. |  |
| 1. **Non tenure-track faculty** | Non tenure-track faculty should be invited to participate in faculty governance, but made clear that it is perfectly acceptable if they are not interested.  They should be aware that the university has accessible grievance procedures. |  |  |
| 1. **T&P Task Force** | Ryan Brown reported that in the process of collecting information, only a few folks shared their opinions, and that the task force is clear-eyed about the problems that need to be addressed. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **V. New Business** Actions/Recommendations |  |  |  |
| 1. **Faculty Rights and Responsibilities while off contract** |  |  | Faculty Rights and Responsibilities while off contract will be discussed at the next meeting. |
| VI. Next Meeting |  | Friday, December 2 at 2:00pm in A&S 116 |  |
| VII. Adjournment |  | Meeting adjourned at 3:15pm |  |

**Distribution(as determined in committee operating procedure – one possibility given):**

First; To Committee Membership for Review

Second: Posted to the Minutes Website